A survey of classical and recent results in RLC circuit synthesis

Malcolm C. Smith

Cambridge University Engineering Department

Workshop on "Dynamics and Control in Networks" Lund University 15-17 October 2014

What is the Most General "Passive" Suspension?

Conceptual step: replace the spring and damper with a "black-box".

Can we characterise the properties of the "most general" such mechanism?

Force-current analogy

Mass element only has one terminal—a fundamental restriction for synthesis.

Question

Is it possible to construct a physical device such that the relative acceleration between its endpoints is proportional to the applied force?

$$
F = b(\ddot{x}_2 - \ddot{x}_1)
$$

Yes! A new word "inerter" was invented to describe such a device.

M.C. Smith, 2002, Synthesis of Mechanical Networks: The Inerter, *IEEE Trans. on Automat. Contr.*, 47, 1648–1662.

Ballscrew inerter made at Cambridge University Engineering Department (2003) - flywheel removed

Mass \approx 1 kg, Inertance (adjustable) = 60–180 kg

Mechanical Network Synthesis

Theorem

It is possible to build a passive mechanism of small mass whose impedance (velocity/force) is any rational postive-real function.

Proof

Bott-Duffin, force-current analogy + ideal inerter: $F = b(\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2)$, where physical embodiments must satisfy:

- Inertance b (kg) is independent of mass;
- Inertance is independent of travel.

Synthesis methods

LC only:

 \blacktriangleright Foster (1924)

RC and LC:

 \blacktriangleright Cauer et al.

RLC + transformers:

- \blacktriangleright Brune (1931)
- \blacktriangleright Darlington (1939)
- \blacktriangleright Youla and Tissi (1966)

RLC only:

 \blacktriangleright Bott and Duffin (1949)

Admittance = $Y(s) = \hat{i}(s)/\hat{v}(s)$. Impedance = $Z(s) = Y(s)^{-1}$.

 \hat{r}

Foster's Reactance Theorem (1924)

The most general driving-point impedance of a network containing capacitors, inductors, transformers, mutual inductance is:

$$
Z(s) = \left[k\frac{(s^2 + \omega_1^2)(s^2 + \omega_3^2)\dots(s^2 + \omega_{2n+1}^2)}{s(s^2 + \omega_2^2)(s^2 + \omega_4^2)\dots(s^2 + \omega_{2n}^2)}\right]^{\pm 1} \qquad \xrightarrow{\phi} \qquad \xrightarrow{\phi}
$$

where
$$
k \ge 0
$$
 and $0 \le \omega_1 \le \omega_2 \dots$

Proof analogous to a problem in mechanics solved by E.J. Routh (Advanced Rigid Dynamics, 1905).

R.M. Foster, "A Reactance Theorem", Bell System Technical Journal, vol. 3, pp. 259–267, 1924

Foster and Cauer

The most general driving-point impedance of an RL network is:

$$
Z(s) = k \frac{(s + \sigma_1)(s + \sigma_3) \dots}{(s + \sigma_2)(s + \sigma_4) \dots} \qquad \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R}
$$

where $k \ge 0$ and $0 \le \sigma_1 \le \sigma_2 \dots$, |relative degree| ≤ 1 .

Follows from Foster's reactance theorem using Cauer's square root transformation: $s = p^2$.

Cauer's first form:

$$
Z(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4 + \frac{1}{s/6}}} \qquad \qquad \sum_{\substack{Z(s) \\ \sigma \subset \sigma \text{ is odd}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sigma}}
$$

 λ

Otto Brune

SYNTHESIS OF A FINITE TWO-TERMINAL NETWORK WHOSE DRIVING-POINT IMPEDANCE IS A PRESCRIBED FUNCTION OF FREOUENCY

Ry Orro Renvell C_{OM}

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. Statement of the Problem

In the well known methods of analysing the performance of linear passive electrical networks with lumped network elements it is usual to derive from the given structure of the network a scalar function $Z(\lambda)$ known as the impedance function of the network; this function determines completely the performance

¹ Containing the principal results of a research submitted for a doctor's degree in the Department of Electrical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The author is indebted to Dr. W. Cauer who suggested this research.

191

Ground-breaking paper (1931).

(1) Introduced the notion of a *positive-real function.*

(2) Showed that the impedance of a passive network must be postive-real.

(3) Showed that any positive-real function could be realised as the impedance or admittance of a network comprising resistors, capacitors, inductors *and transformers*.

Foster preamble for a positive-real *Z*(*s*)

Removal of poles on *j*R∪ {∞}

$$
Z = sL + Z_1, \quad (Z_1 \text{ proper})
$$

Removal of zeros on *j*R∪ {∞}

$$
Z = \left(\frac{As}{s^2 + \omega^2} + Y_1\right)^{-1}
$$

Subtract minimum real part

$$
Z=R+Z_2
$$

Not necessarily a unique process

Minimum functions

A **minimum function** $Z(s)$ is a positive-real function with no poles or zeros on *j*R∪ {∞} and with the real part of *Z*(*jω*) equal to 0 at one or more frequencies.

The Brune Cycle

Let $Z(s)$ be a minimum function with $Z(i\omega_1) = iX_1$ ($\omega_1 > 0$). It can be shown that the following decomposition is possible with Z_1 positive-real of lower degree than *Z*.

Problem: $sign(L_1L_3) < 0$.

To Remove Negative Inductor:

It turns out that: $L_p, L_s > 0$ and $\frac{M^2}{L_p L_s} = 1$ (unity coupling coefficient). Realisation for completed Brune cycle:

Darlington synthesis

Darlington showed that *any* positive-real $Z(s)$ could be realised by a lossless two-port (containing inductors, capacitors and transformers) terminated in a single resistor.

Darlington, S., "Synthesis of reactance 4-poles which produce prescribed insertion loss characteristics," J. Math. Phys., Vol. 18, 257–353, Sep. 1939.

Let
$$
L_1 = \cdots = C_1 = \cdots = 1
$$
. If

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}
$$

is the hybrid matrix of *X*, i.e.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\underline{v}_1 \\
\underline{i}_2\n\end{array}\right) = M \left(\begin{array}{c}\n\underline{i}_1 \\
\underline{v}_2\n\end{array}\right),
$$

then

$$
Z(s) = M_{11} - M_{12}(sI + M_{22})^{-1}M_{21}.
$$

D.C. Youla and P. Tissi, "N-Port Synthesis via Reactance Extraction, Part I", *IEEE International Convention Record*, 183–205, 1966.

Bott-Duffin Synthesis

Letters to the Editor

The Ordering Reaction in Co-Pt Alloys! J. B. Nawman," A. H. Gemins," are D. L. Maxyam Month # Fine

 $\label{eq:ex1} \mathbf{A}$ N seekning reaction can occur in binary alloys at cobalt and plant
increase computable in ones 20 atomic members of contract in the set of
the second state maximum temperature of coder is about 323°C for ordered face-centered tetragonal below. In some respects this reaction has for its prototype the one found in the CuAu alloy.

Evidence is given which indicates that at certain temps and compositions the ordering reaction proceeds through a two-phase stage that by holding within a measurable temperature range discret regions of noder and of disorder may be caused to

On the basis of preliminary evidence, it appears that at an early stare of the ordering vencess, reherence between reviews of order and of disorder may exist. Lattice straining, induced as a consequence of this, may account for the unnear physical proper consequence of tree, may account for the unneast physical proper
ties which develop during the course of the ordering process. Thus the process may resemble that of solid solution percipitation (aging) in its effect on certain physical properties

† This letter is part of the Special Section on the Pittsburgh X-Eny and
Instead Diffraction, Conference which appears on maps: 713-766 of this on Commune woon appear on pape corver in com-
dest, Department of Metallorgical Engineering, Carangia
noings, Basacch Laboratory, General Electric Company,
section, Rasacch Laboratory, General Electric Company,

Contract Contract Contract Impedance Synthesis without Use of Transformers

K. Bort on K. J. Downs E. 1001 ASS E. J. 253918
Department of Mathematics, Cornegis Jackson of Toolmings,
Fitchergh, Pienceschergh December 13, 198

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{\textit{L}} \to T \, Z(s) \text{ be termed a B} (mass) function if (0) is a rational function; (2) is real for real z_1 and (3) the real part of Z is positive when the positive value of z in positive function; In this case, the eigenvalue of Rmin is defined by the B} \end{array}$ network is a B function of the complex frequency variable z Conversely, he shows that any B function can be realized by some passive network and gives rules for constructing such a network. In this synthesis he is faceed to employ transformers with perfect coupling. It is recognized by Bruns and others that the introduc tion of perfect transformers is objectionable from an engineering point of view. Price to Beane, R. M. Foster⁴ had shown how to purchasine the driving-point impedance of networks containing no resistors by simple series parallel combinations of inductors and appellant. This note graduate systems in architecture of the series produced in the interac and capacitors.
A *B* function can be expressed as the ratio of two polynomials

without common factor. Let the "rank" be the sum of the degrees of these polynomials. Obviously any B function of rank O can be lend. Suppose, then, it has been above that all B functions of rank lower than a can be synthedeed, and let $Z(z)$ be a B funcof rank iswer than a can be synthesized, and ist $L(t)$ be a must
be of rank a. Brane gives four rules for carrying out a mathematical induction to a B function of lower rank:

816

(a) If E has a pole on the imaginary axis, then Z can be symbologically a parallel resonant closured in social with an impedance \mathbb{P}^* of hower rank; $Z=1/\xi_0\eta+1/\xi_0\gamma+\xi_0\gamma_0\gamma_0$ (b) If Z has a zero on the i the art a number on the imaginary and come
the single with an ing
 Z^* of lower rank; $1/Z = 1/(k+1/\alpha) + 1/Z^*$ where $1, c^{-1} \ge 0$ (c) If the real part of Z does not vanish on the imaginary $Z = r + Z_0$ where r is a positive constant (to be interpreted a resistance) and Z_0 is a B function of no greater rank than 2 Branc's fourth rule, (d), which employs the perfect transform we replace by the following procedure: we replace by the following procedure:
(4) If none of these reductions are possible, there exists a w>
unch that Z(she) is reguly imaginary. First assume that Z(se)= on

with L>0. We now reake use of a key theorem discovered by P. I Bichards." Let k be a positive number, and let

 $R(z) = \frac{\hbar Z(z) - z Z(k)}{\hbar Z(k) - z Z(z)}$

Then *Rich* is a *B* function whose rank does not exceed the rank of Ziel, Richards states this theorem for k-1; the above form is a shvicus medification, because Z(kr) is also a S function. Let atisfy the equation $L = Z(\lambda)/k$. This is clearly always possible because the function on the right varies from = to 0 as k varie from 0 to =. With this choice of b, clearly R(su) =0. Solving (I for Z gives

 $Z(z) = (1/20\lambda)R(z) + x/kZ(k))^{-1} + (k/2\langle k \rangle z + R(z)/2\langle k \rangle)^{-1}$ $=(1/Z_1(x)+Cy)^{-1}+(1/Lx+1/Zx)^{-1}$.

Here $Z_1(t) = kLR(t)$, $Z_2(t) = kL/R(t)$, $C = 1/47L$. Since Z_1 is a B iners $Z_1(t) = A L d(t)$, $d\phi(t) = A d\phi$ and $\phi(t)$, $\phi(t)$ and the synthesized Likewise, Z_1 is a 27 function with a pole on the inseginary axis and Likewise, Z_1 is a 27 function with a pole on the inseginary axis and a *a* cunction with a post on the inequasy assets
laed. *Z*(s) is therefore synthesized by two network can be set in suries. The first network consists of the impedance E₁ in pass. with a capacitor C, and the second natwork consists of the imp with a capacitor C, and the second network consume of the sup-
dance Z_2 in parallel with an inductor Z . In the case that Z/π -inf. similar considerations applied to the function 1/2 sho that 2 is synthesized by two networks in parallel. The synthesized by two networks in parallel. The synthesize branches are ladder networks branches are ladder retworks.

Fightest conditions for the driving point importance of reminder the
straining point importance of reminder-transmission disc clocals by

means of an ingenious transformation of the Brane th

parfect transfermers, which are again found to be objectionald may be dispensed with by the above procedure. * O. Brans, J. Math. and Phon. 35, 191-236 (2011).
* R. M. Fostor, Roll Gyp. Tech. J. 4, 271-256 (1917).
* P. J. Stakards, Prote. J.R. St. 111-250 (1988).

> An Improvement in the Shadow-Cast Replica Technique 5. J. Sount am R. P. Fennis

Color and Crollin Laboratories of Chemicary, Coldbress Institute of May 4, 1949

Now 6, 1949
WILLIAMS and Backsaf have recently discussed in full de tail the shadow-cast replica technique of electron micr scory. In the course of an investigation of the reactions of prot in this films,¹⁴ we have performed some experiments with this technique embodying an improvement which we wish to report. In this technique, a thin film of a revial such as chromium unnion is deposited at an oblique angle onto the surface to be a smised, by evaporation is a high vacuum. One method of re moving this replica from the surface involves first, the deposit of a thin film (about 1000A) of parledies on top of the metal film

JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSIC

R. Bott and R.J. Duffin showed that transformers were unnecessary in the synthesis of positive-real functions. (1949)

Bott-Duffin Construction

If $Z(s)$ is positive-real then

$$
R(s) = \frac{kZ(s) - sZ(k)}{kZ(k) - sZ(s)}
$$

is positive-real for any $k > 0$ (Richard's transformation).

If $Z(s)$ is a minimum function with $Z(j\omega_1) = jX_1$ ($\omega_1 > 0$). (Assume $X_1 > 0$.) Then we can find a *k* s.t. $R(s)$ has a zero at $s = j\omega_1$.

Bott-Duffin Construction (cont.)

We now write:

$$
Z(s) = \frac{kZ(k)R(s) + Z(k)s}{k + sR(s)} = \frac{kZ(k)R(s)}{k + sR(s)} + \frac{Z(k)s}{k + sR(s)}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{\frac{1}{Z(k)R(s)} + \frac{s}{kZ(k)}} + \frac{1}{\frac{k}{Z(k)s} + \frac{R(s)}{Z(k)}}
$$

Bott-Duffin Construction (cont.)

We can write:
$$
\frac{1}{Z(k)R(s)}
$$
 = const × $\frac{s}{s^2 + \omega_1^2}$ + $\frac{1}{R_1(s)}$ etc.

 $\delta(Z_1(s)) = \delta(Z_2(s)) = \delta(Z(s)) - 2$ where $\delta = (McMillan)$ degree.

Enumerative approach—Ladenheim's master's thesis (1948)

Ladenheim considered all networks with at most five elements and at most two reactive elements, and reduced the whole set to *108* networks (1948).

Questions not answered:

- \triangleright What is the totality of biquadratics which may be realised?
- \blacktriangleright How many different networks are needed?

New approach - the concept of a regularity

A positive-real function $Z(s)$ is defined to be *regular* if the smallest value of $\text{Re}\left(Z(j\omega)\right)$ or $\text{Re}\left(Z^{-1}(j\omega)\right)$ occurs at $\omega = 0$ or $\omega = \infty$.

Theorem

106 out 108 Ladenheim networks are regular.

6 series-parallel networks are a "generating set" for these 106 regular networks. 2 remaining bridge networks do not realise all the remaining biquadratic positive-real functions.

J.Z. Jiang and M.C. Smith, 2011, Regular Positive-Real Functions and Five-Element Network Synthesis for Electrical and Mechanical Networks, *IEEE Trans on Automat. Contr.*, 56, pp. 1275–1290.

Network quartets

Bott-Duffin construction again

$$
Z(s) = \frac{As^2 + Bs + C}{Ds^2 + Es + F}
$$

General form of Bott-Duffin realisation for a biquadratic:

3 capacitors, 3 inductors and 3 resistors!!

Recent result

T.H. Hughes and M.C. Smith, *On the minimality and uniqueness of the Bott-Duffin realisation procedure*, IEEE AC-Transactions, vol. 59, 1858–1873, July 2014.

Shows that 6 reactive elements are necessary for series-parallel realisation of a biquadratic minimum function.

Sketch of proof

Assume $Z(s) = p.r.$ minimum function $= Z_1(s) + Z_2(s)$ (series). Then

$$
Re(Z(j\omega_0)) = 0 \Rightarrow Re(Z_1(j\omega_0)) = 0,
$$
\n
$$
Z_1 \text{ has no poles on } j\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.
$$
\n
$$
(1)
$$

$$
(1) + (2) \Rightarrow #(Z_1) \ge 2
$$

where $# =$ no. of reactive elements in a s.p. realisation.

$$
(1) + (2) \text{ and } \#(Z_1) = 2 \implies Z_1(s) = \frac{s^2 + \omega_0^2}{As^2 + B\omega_0 s + A\omega_0^2} \text{ (true zero)}
$$

Hence, $\#(Z) = \#(Z_1) + \#(Z_2) \ge 5$.

Rest of talk based on the recent paper:

T. H. Hughes and M. C. Smith, Algebraic criteria for circuit realisations, Mathematical System Theory— Festschrift in Honor of Uwe Helmke on the Occasion of his Sixtieth Birthday, Knut Hüper and Jochen Trumpf (eds.), CreateSpace, 2013, pp. 211–228.

D.C. Youla and P. Tissi, "N-Port Synthesis via Reactance Extraction, Part I", *IEEE International Convention Record*, 183–205, 1966.

Hankel matrix

Assume $Z(s)$ is proper and is realised with *p* inductors and *q* capacitors. Suppose $n = \deg(Z(s)) = p + q$ (minimally reactive). Let

$$
Z(s) = h_{-1} + \frac{h_0}{s} + \frac{h_1}{s^2} + \frac{h_2}{s^3} + \dots
$$

and define the finite Hankel matrices

$$
\mathscr{H}_k = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 & \dots & h_{k-1} \\ h_1 & h_2 & \dots & h_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{k-1} & h_k & \dots & h_{2k-2} \end{bmatrix}
$$

.

Then

$$
\mathscr{H}_n = V_o \left(-\Lambda^{-1} \Sigma \right) V_o^T
$$

where

$$
\Lambda = \text{diag}\{L_1, \dots, L_p, C_1, \dots, C_q\}
$$

$$
\Sigma = (I_p \dot{+} - I_q)
$$

$$
V_0 \text{ non-singular}
$$

Lund, 15 October 2014 31

Signature of the Hankel matrix

For the (proper) impedance

$$
Z(s) = h_{-1} + \frac{h_0}{s} + \frac{h_1}{s^2} + \frac{h_2}{s^3} + \dots
$$

where $n = \deg(Z(s))$. Then

 $p = #$ inductors = $#$ neg. eigs. of \mathcal{H}_n $q = #$ capacitors = # pos. eigs. of \mathcal{H}_n

Algebraic condition:

$$
q = \mathbf{P}(1, |\mathcal{H}_1|, \ldots, |\mathcal{H}_n|),
$$

$$
p = \mathbf{V}(1, |\mathcal{H}_1|, \ldots, |\mathcal{H}_n|)
$$

where ${\bf P}(\cdot,\ldots,\cdot)$ is the number of permanences of sign and ${\bf V}(\cdot,\ldots,\cdot)$ is the number of variations of sign in the sequence.

Cauchy index

For a proper impedance $Z(s)$...

Corollary 1. $q - p = \sigma(\mathcal{H}_n)$ where σ denotes the signature.

Corollary 2. $q - p = I_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Z(s)$ where $I_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Z(s)$ is the difference between the number of jumps of $Z(s)$ from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and the number of jumps from $+\infty$ to −∞ as *s* is increased in R from −∞ to +∞ (Cauchy index).

Sylvester matrix

Write

$$
Z(s) = \frac{a(s)}{b(s)} = \frac{a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0}{b_n s^n + b_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \ldots + b_0}.
$$

Define the Sylvester matrices

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2k} = \begin{bmatrix}\nb_n & b_{n-1} & \cdots & b_{n-k+1} & b_{n-k} & \cdots & b_{n-2k+1} \\
a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_{n-k+1} & a_{n-k} & \cdots & a_{n-2k+1} \\
0 & b_n & \cdots & b_{n-k+2} & b_{n-k+1} & \cdots & b_{n-2k+2} \\
0 & a_n & \cdots & a_{n-k+2} & a_{n-k+1} & \cdots & a_{n-2k+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & b_n & b_{n-1} & \cdots & b_{n-k} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_{n-k}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

Then $|\mathscr{S}_{2k}| = b_n^{2k} |\mathscr{H}_k|$ (Gantmacher). Also, $|\mathscr{S}_{2n}|$ = resultant of *a*(*s*) and *b*(*s*). .

Biquadratic functions

$$
Z(s) = \frac{a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0}{b_2s^2 + b_1s + b_0}.
$$

$$
|\mathcal{S}_2| = b_2a_1 - b_1a_2, \quad |\mathcal{S}_4| = (b_2a_1 - b_1a_2)(b_1a_0 - b_0a_1) - (b_2a_0 - b_0a_2)^2.
$$

$$
q = \mathbf{P}(1, |\mathcal{S}_2|, |\mathcal{S}_4|),
$$

$$
p = \mathbf{V}(1, |\mathcal{S}_2|, |\mathcal{S}_4|).
$$

Corollary. Whether the reactive elements are of the same or different kind is determined by the sign of the resultant $|\mathscr{S}_4|$.

Stated (without proof) by Foster (1962), as noted by Kalman (2010).

Thank you!