Schrödinger Bridges classical and quantum evolution ..

> Tryphon Georgiou University of Minnesota

Joint work with Michele Pavon and Yongxin Chen

LCCC Workshop on Dynamic and Control in Networks Lund, October 2014

- History of Schrodinger bridges
- Bridges for Markov chains
- The Hilbert metric
- Bridges for quantum (TPTP) evolutions
- Bridges for Gauss-Markov process

Schrodinger 1931/32: The time reversal of the laws of nature

Kolmogoroff: The reversibility of the statistical laws of nature

Bernstein 1932 Fortet 1940 Beurling 1960 Jamison 1974/75 Follmer 1988

connections to Nelson's stochastic mechanics Zambrini, Wakolbinger, Dai Pra, Pavon,Ticozzi and others

Hilbert metric:

Hilbert 1895 Birkhoff 1957 Bushell 1973

Sepulchre, Sarlette, Rouchon 2010 Reeb, Kastoryano, Wolf 2011

• Schrodinger 1931/1932: suppose a large number of Brownian particles observed at two different times to evolve between two empirical distributions. What is the most likely intermediate distribution at any point in time?

Given initial and final distribution $p_0(x)$, $p_T(x)$ and transition $p(x, y)$

Schrödinger hypothesised that

$$
p_T(\cdot) \neq \int p(x, \cdot) p_0(x) dx
$$

=: $\Pi_{0T}(p_0(x))$

 Π_{0t} : $q_0(x) \rightarrow q_t(x)$

$$
\frac{\partial q(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 q(t,x)}{\partial x^2}, \quad q(0,x) = q_0(x).
$$

Large deviations Sample paths Relative entropy Stochastic Control

Schrödinger system

discretized time, space, N-particles Stirling's approximation optimized, lagrange multipliers

the most likely joint density and transition probability

$$
P^{\star}(x_0, x_T) = \hat{\phi}(x_0) p(x_0, x_T) \phi(x_T) \text{ and } \boxed{p^{\star}(x_0, x_T) = p(x_0, x_T) \frac{\phi(x_T)}{\phi(x_0)}}
$$

$$
p_0(x_0) = \hat{\phi}(x_0) \int p(x_0, x_T) \phi(x_T) dx_T = \hat{\phi}(x_0) \overbrace{\Pi_{0,T}^{\dagger} \underbrace{\phi(x_T)}_{\phi_T(x_T)}}^{\phi_0(x_0)}
$$

$$
p_T(x_T) = \phi(x_T) \int p(x_0, x_T) \hat{\phi}(x_0) dx_0 = \phi(x_T) \overbrace{\Pi_{0,T} \underbrace{\hat{\phi}(x_0)}_{\hat{\phi}_0(x_0)}}
$$

and
$$
\overline{p_t(x_t)} = \hat{\phi}_t(x_t)\phi(x_t)
$$
 where $\hat{\phi}_t(x_t) := \Pi_{t,T}^{\dagger}\phi(x_T)$
 $\hat{\phi}_t(x_t) := \Pi_{0,t}\hat{\phi}(x_0)$

Schrödinger system

Schrödinger: there exists a solution "except possibly for very nasty p_0 , p_T because the question leading to the pair of equations is so reasonable."

Existence/uniqueness

Fortet 1940 Résolution d'un system d'equations de M. Schr
dinger

Beurling 1960 An automorphism of product measures

Markov chains

 $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ states, $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_T)$ sample path Π_t stochastic matrices, $t \in \{1, \ldots, T\}$ $P \in \text{probability induced by } \Pi$'s on $\{1, \ldots, N\}^{T+1}$

$$
P(x_0,...,x_T) = P(x_0,x_T)P(x_1,...,x_{T-1} | x_0,x_T)
$$

Schrödinger question

given p_0 , p_T $p_T \neq \Pi_T \cdots \Pi_1 p_0$

find

$$
Q(x_0, \ldots, x_T) = Q(x_0, x_T) Q(x_1, \ldots, x_{T-1} | x_0, x_T)
$$

such that

 \sum $p_{x_T} Q(x_0, x_T) = p_0(x_0)$ $\sum_{x_0}^{x_1} Q(x_0, x_T) = p_T(x_T)$ and minimizes the relative entropy

$$
\sum_{all} Q \log \frac{Q}{P} = \sum_{x_0, x_T} Q(x_0, x_T) \log \frac{Q(x_0, x_T)}{P(x_0, x_T)} + \sum_{all} Q(\cdot \mid x_0, x_T) \log \frac{Q(\cdot \mid x_0, x_T)}{P(\cdot \mid x_0, x_T)} Q(x_0, x_T)
$$

Lagrangian

$$
L(Q) = \sum_{x_0, x_T} Q(x_0, x_T) \log \frac{Q(x_0, x_T)}{P(x_0, x_T)}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{x_0} \lambda(x_0) \left(\sum_{x_T} Q(x_0, x_T) - p_T(x_T) \right)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{x_T} \mu(x_T) \left(\sum_{x_0} Q(x_0, x_T) - p_0(x_0) \right)
$$

 $\lambda(x_0) \sim \hat{\phi}_0$ $\mu(x_T) \sim \phi_T$ such that with $\Pi = \Pi_T \cdots \Pi_1$

Schrödinger system

$$
\hat{\phi}_T = \Pi \hat{\phi}_0
$$

$$
\phi_0 = \Pi^{\dagger} \phi_T
$$

$$
p_0 = \phi_0 \circ \hat{\phi}_0
$$

$$
p_T = \phi_T \circ \hat{\phi}_T
$$

if there is a solution

$$
\Pi^* = D_{\phi_T} \Pi D_{\phi_0}^{-1}
$$

$$
[Q(x_0, x_T)]_{x_0, x_T} = D_{\phi_T} \Pi D_{\hat{\phi}_0}
$$

Hilbert metric

S real Banach space *K* closed solid cone in *S*

$$
x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in K,
$$

$$
M(x, y) := \inf \{ \lambda \mid x \le \lambda y \}
$$

$$
m(x, y) := \sup \{ \lambda \mid \lambda y \le x \}.
$$

define the Hilbert metric:

$$
d_H(x,y) := \log\left(\frac{M(x,y)}{m(x,y)}\right).
$$

Examples:

i) positive cone in \mathbb{R}^n

ii) positive definite Hermitian matrices

dH-gain bound of positive maps

 Π is a positive map:

$$
\Pi \; : \; K \backslash \{0\} \to K \backslash \{0\}.
$$

Projective diameter

 $\Delta(\Pi) := \sup\{d_H(\Pi(x), \Pi(y)) \mid x, y \in K\backslash\{0\}\}\$

Contraction ratio, or gain/*H*-norm

 $\|\Pi\|_H := \inf\{\lambda \mid d_H(\Pi(x), \Pi(y)) \leq \lambda d_H(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in K\backslash\{0\}\}.$

Birkhoff-Bushell theorem

Let Π positive, monotone, homogeneous of degree m , i.e.,

$$
x \preceq y \Rightarrow \Pi(x) \preceq \Pi(y),
$$

and

$$
\Pi(\lambda x) = \lambda^m \Pi(x),
$$

then

$$
\|\Pi\|_H \leq m.
$$

For the special case where Π is also linear, the (possibly stronger) bound

$$
\|\Pi\|_H = \tanh(\frac{1}{4}\Delta(\Pi))
$$

also holds.

Solution of the Schrödinger system

Lemma

Let $\Pi >_e 0$ (element-wise positive) stochastic matrix *p*0, *pT* probability vectors then $\|\Pi\|_H < 1$.

proof

i) $\Delta(\Pi) = \sup\{d_H(\Pi(x), \Pi(y)) \mid x, y \in K\backslash\{0\}\}\$ remains the same if we restrict *x, y* to be probability vectors ii) $d_H(\Pi(x), \Pi(y)) < \infty \ \forall x, y$. iii) the probability simplex is compact.

Solution of the Schrödinger system

Consider

$$
\hat{\varphi}_0 \ \stackrel{\Pi}{\longrightarrow} \ \hat{\varphi}_T
$$

$$
\hat{\varphi}_0(x_0) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_0(x_0)}{\varphi_0(x_0)} \quad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \quad \varphi_T(x_T) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_T(x_T)}{\hat{\varphi}_T(x_T)}
$$
\n
$$
\varphi_0 \stackrel{\Pi^{\dagger}}{\longleftarrow} \varphi_T
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{D}_T : \varphi_0 \mapsto \hat{\varphi}_0(x_0) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_0(x_0)}{\varphi_0(x_0)}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_T : \hat{\varphi}_T \mapsto \varphi_T(x_T) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_T(x_N)}{\hat{\varphi}_T(x_T)}
$$

are componentwise division of vectors $\Rightarrow d_H$ -isometries!

The composition

$$
\hat{\varphi}_0 \xrightarrow{\Pi} \hat{\varphi}_T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_T} \varphi_T \xrightarrow{\Pi^{\dagger}} \varphi_0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_0} (\hat{\varphi}_0)_{\text{next}}
$$

is strictly contractive in the Hilbert metric.

Sinkhorn's theorem

If $\Pi >_e 0$, then $\exists a_i, b_j$ such that $[\pi_{ij}a_ib_j]_{i,j}$ doubly stochastic.

Cf.
$$
p_0 = 1
$$
, $p_T = 1$
\n
$$
\Pi^* = D_{\phi_T} \Pi D_{\phi_0}^{-1} \text{ doubly stochastic}
$$

i.e., $(\Pi^*)^{\dagger}1 = 1$ but also $(\Pi^{\star})\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$

Quantum analogues

Density matrices: $\mathfrak{D} = \{ \rho \geq 0 \mid \text{trace}(\rho) = 1 \}$

TPTP:
$$
\mathcal{E} : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{D} : \rho \longrightarrow \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathcal{E}}} E_i \rho E_i^{\dagger}
$$

with

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathcal{E}}} E_i^\dagger E_i = I
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{E}^{\dagger}(I) = I$

 $\mathcal E$ is positivity improving: if $\rho \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal E(\rho) > 0$

Reference quantum evolution

TPCP maps $\{\mathcal{E}_t: 0 \le t \le T-1\}$ with Kraus representation

$$
\mathcal{E}_t: \sigma_t \mapsto \sigma_{t+1} = \sum_i E_{t,i} \sigma_t E_{t,i}^{\dagger}, \quad t = 0, 1, \ldots, T-1.
$$

Consider the composition

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0:T}:=\mathcal{E}_{T-1}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{E}_0.
$$

initial and a final ρ_0 and ρ_T

Problem

Find $\mathcal{F}_{0:T} = \mathcal{F}_{T-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{F}_0$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0:T}(\rho_0)=\rho_T.
$$

and $\mathcal F$ "close to" $\mathcal E$

"rank-1" corrections

$$
\mathcal{F}_t(\cdot) = \chi_{t+1}\left(\mathcal{E}_t(\chi_t^{-1}(\cdot)\chi_t^{-\dagger})\right)\chi_{t+1}^{\dagger}
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{F}_t = \Phi_{t+1} \circ \mathcal{E}_t \circ \Phi_t^{-1}$ where Φ are rank-1 Kraus maps, $n_{\Phi} = 1$

Corresponds to the commutative case via: $\chi^{\dagger} \chi = \phi$

Quantum version of Sinkhorn's thm

Suppose $\mathcal{E}_{0:T}$ is positivity improving Then, \exists observables ϕ_0 , ϕ_T such that, for any factorization

$$
\phi_0 = \chi_0^{\dagger} \chi_0, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\phi_T = \chi_T^{\dagger} \chi_T,
$$

the map

$$
\mathcal{F}(\cdot) := \chi_T\left(\mathcal{E}_{0:T}(\chi_0^{-1}(\cdot)\chi_0^{-\dagger})\right)\chi_T^{\dagger}
$$

is a *doubly stochastic* Kraus map, in that $\mathcal{F}(I) = I$ as well as $\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}(I) = I$.

Proof

$$
\hat{\phi}_0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{0,T}} \hat{\phi}_T
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\phi}_0 = \phi_0^{-1} \uparrow \qquad \downarrow \quad \phi_T = \hat{\phi}_T^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\phi_0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{E}_{0,T}^{\dagger}} \phi_T
$$

The composition map

$$
\mathcal{C} \; : \; \left(\hat{\phi}_0\right)_{\text{starting}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{0,T}} \hat{\phi}_T \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{-1}} \phi_T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{0,T}^{\dagger}} \phi_0 \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{-1}} \left(\hat{\phi}_0\right)_{\text{next}}
$$

is strictly contractive the steps are identical

General case

Given $\mathcal{E}_{0:T}^{\dagger}$ and ρ_0 and ρ_T if $\exists \phi_0, \phi_T, \hat{\phi}_0, \hat{\phi}_T$ solving

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0:T}^{\dagger}(\phi_T) = \phi_0,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{E}_{0:T}(\hat{\phi}_0) = \hat{\phi}_T,
$$
\n
$$
\rho_0 = \chi_0 \hat{\phi}_0 \chi_0^{\dagger},
$$
\n
$$
\rho_T = \chi_T \hat{\phi}_T \chi_T^{\dagger}.
$$

Then, for any factorization

$$
\phi_0 = \chi_0^{\dagger} \chi_0, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\phi_T = \chi_T^{\dagger} \chi_T,
$$

the map

$$
\mathcal{F}(\cdot) := \chi_T\left(\mathcal{E}_{0:T}(\chi_0^{-1}(\cdot)\chi_0^{-\dagger})\right)\chi_T^{\dagger}
$$

is a quantum bridge for $(\mathcal{E}_{0:T}^{\intercal}, \rho_0, \rho_T)$, namely $\mathcal{F}(I) = I$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}(\rho_0) = \rho_T$.

Conjecture

The quantum Schrödinger system has a solution for arbitrary ρ_0 , ρ_T

Snag in the proof:
\n
$$
\phi \rightarrow \hat{\phi}
$$
 and $\hat{\phi} \rightarrow \phi$ are not isometries, e.g.,
\n $D_T : \hat{\phi}_T \mapsto \phi_T = \left(\rho_T^{1/2} \left(\rho_T^{-1/2} \hat{\phi}^{-1} \rho_T^{-1/2}\right)^{1/2} \rho_T^{1/2}\right)^2$
\n $\hat{D}_0 : \phi_0 \mapsto \hat{\phi}_0 = (\phi_0)^{1/2} \rho(\phi_0)^{1/2}$

Extensive numerical evidence that the composition has a fixed point Software for numerical experimentation http://www.ece.umn.edu/~georgiou/papers/schrodinger_ bridge/

Pinned bridge

 $\mathcal{E}_{0:T}$ positivity improving and two pure states

$$
\rho_0 = v_0 v_0^{\dagger} \text{ and } \rho_T = v_T v_T^{\dagger}
$$

(i.e., v_0, v_T are unit norm vectors), define

$$
\phi_0 := \mathcal{E}(v_T v_T^{\dagger})
$$

$$
\phi_T := v_T v_T^{\dagger},
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{F}^\dagger(\cdot):=\phi_T^{1/2}\mathcal{E}^\dagger(\phi_0^{-1/2}(\cdot)\phi_0^{-1/2})\phi_T^{1/2}
$$

(where, clearly, $\phi_T^{1/2} = \phi_T = v_T v_T^{\dagger}$). Then, \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} is TPTP and satisfies the marginal conditions

$$
\rho_T = \mathcal{F}^\dagger(\rho_0).
$$

Example

$$
\mathcal{E}(\cdot) = E_1(\cdot)E_1^{\dagger} + E_2(\cdot)E_2^{\dagger} + E_3(\cdot)E_3^{\dagger}
$$

\n
$$
E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}, E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

\n
$$
\rho_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\phi_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\phi}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\phi}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1/3} \end{bmatrix}, F_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2/3} \\ \sqrt{1/3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Recap

Hilbert metric \Rightarrow constructive existence proofs for

- i) classical Schrödinger systems
- ii) quantum Sinkhorn version (uniform marginals) iii) general case open

Final topic:

- Schrödinger bridges for "degenerate" classical
- linear stochastic systems
- \equiv a new type of optimal control problem

Optimal steering of state-densities

min relative entropy \leftrightarrow ^{Girsanov} \leftrightarrow minimum energy stochastic control

 $dx = bdt + dw$ diffusion $dx = (b + u)dt + dw$ controlled diffusion

 $\min\{E\{\|u\|^2\}$ | $p_0, p_T\}$ ~ relative entropy from prior (dai Pra)

our interest: inertial particles, cooling of oscillators

dx = *vdt* $dv = (b + u)dt + dw$ controlled degenerate diffusion

Optimal steering of state-densities

 $dx(t) = A(t)x(t)dt + B(t)u(t)dt + B(t)dw(t)$

Given initial and terminal (target) Gaussian densities with covariances Σ_0 , Σ_T .

Find $u(t)$ with $t \in [0, T]$ that steers the system from the initial to the target state density and minimizes

$$
E\{\int_0^T u(t)' u(t) dt\}
$$

Optimal steering of state-densities

Theorem (Gauss-Markov Schrödinger bridge): There exists a unique solution to the following (analogue of the Schrödinger system)

Q(*T*), *P*(0) values for matrices satisfying $\Sigma_0^{-1} = Q(0)^{-1} + P(0)^{-1}$ $\Sigma_T^{-1} = Q(T)^{-1} + P(T)^{-1}$ and $Q(0)$, $P(T)$ obtained via $\dot{Q}(t) = A(t)Q(t) + Q(t)A(t)' + B(t)B(t)'$ $\dot{P}(t) = A(t)P(t) + P(t)A(t)$ $\theta' - B(t)B(t)$ with $Q(t)$ invertible over $[0, T]$.

The optimal control is $u(t) = -B(t)^{\prime}Q(t)^{-1}x(t)$ The controlled degenerate diffusion is the closest to the uncontrolled diffusion in the relative entropy sense.

$$
Q(0) = N(T,0)^{1/2} S_0^{1/2} \left(S_0 + \frac{1}{2} I - \left(S_0^{1/2} S_T S_0^{1/2} + \frac{1}{4} I \right) \right)^{1/2} S_0^{1/2} N(T,0)^{1/2}
$$

 $N(T,0)$ is the controllability Grammian.

Gauss Markov model for inertial particles

Gauss Markov model for inertial particles

Gauss Markov model for Nyquist-Johnson noise driven oscillator f_{α} is $\overline{}$. Consider the constant includes a resistor with f_{α} in a Nyquist-Johnson noise unven

Gauss Markov model for inertial particles: state-cost ~ particles with losses @*^t* ⁺ ^r *·* (*f*(*x, t*)⇢) + *^V* (*x, t*)⇢ ⁼ 2 \cdot *i* \cap ¹ @*xi*@*xj* 2 @*^t* ⁺ ^r *·* (*f*(*x, t*)⇢) + *^V* (*x, t*)⇢ ⁼ *i,j*=1 @*xi*@*xj*

 $dX(t) = f(X(t), t)dt + \sigma(X(t), t)dw(t)$ $dX(t) = f(X(t), t)dt + O(X(t))$

$$
\inf_{(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T \left[\frac{1}{2} ||u||^2 + V(x, t) \right] \tilde{\rho}(x, t) dt dx,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot ((f + \sigma u) \tilde{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 (a_{ij} \tilde{\rho})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \qquad a_{ij}(x, t) = \sum_k \sigma_{ik}(x, t) \sigma_{kj}(x, t)
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\rho}(0, x) = \rho_0(x), \quad \tilde{\rho}(T, y) = \rho_T(y).
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (f(x, t)\rho) + V(x, t)\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 (a_{ij}(x, t)\rho)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}
$$

$\text{ger } \text{system}$ *<u>represente</u>* $^{\circ}$ @*^t* ⁺ ^r *·* ((*^f* ⁺ *u*)˜⇢) = ¹ Schrödinger system

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + f(x, t) \cdot \nabla \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = V \varphi,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (f(x, t)\hat{\varphi}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 (a_{ij}\hat{\varphi})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = -V \hat{\varphi},
$$

 $(\varphi(x,0)\hat{\varphi}(x,0)) = \tilde{\varrho}_0(x), \quad (\varphi(x,T)\hat{\varphi}(x,T)) = \tilde{\varrho}_T(x).$ $\varphi(x,0)\hat{\varphi}(x,0) = \tilde{\rho}_0(x), \quad \varphi(x,T)\hat{\varphi}(x,T) = \tilde{\rho}_T(x)$ $\frac{d}{dt}$ (4a)-(4c) for (*x, t*) ² (R*ⁿ* ⇥ [0*, T*]). Suppose ' is every-

$$
u^*(x,t) = \sigma' \nabla \log \varphi(x,t),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot ((f + a \nabla \log \varphi) \tilde{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 (a_{ij} \tilde{\rho})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},
$$

Controllability of Fokker-Planck - Linear-Gaussian 0
| a^{llity} of Folker Dlonek Linger *dx*(*t*) =*Ax*(*t*)*dt* + *Bu*(*t*)*dt* + *B*1*dw*(*t*) (12)

$$
dx(t) = Ax(t)dt + Bu(t)dt + B_1dw(t)
$$

with $x(0) = x_0$ a.s.

Example 19 Sundent to Stribution to a H = ˙ *A*⁰ Thm: (A,B) controllable is sufficient to steer the system from any initial Gaussian distribution to a final one at t=T. $(A \cap \mathcal{A})$ controllede is a α B and the Bankricht to stoo
Baneeian dietribution to a fin*s* $\frac{1}{2}$ $\overline{}$

Thm: A Gaussian state-pdf can be "sustained" with constant state-feedback iff the state covariance satisfies \therefore A Gaussian state-ndf can he "sustained"

$$
(A-BK)\Sigma+\Sigma(A'-K'B')+B_1B_1'=0
$$

*B*0) + *B*1*B*⁰ ¹ = 0*.* (14) 31 $rank$ $\left[$ $A\Sigma + \Sigma A' + B_1 B_1'$ *B B* 0 $\Big]$ = rank $\Big[$ 0 *B B* 0 $\overline{1}$ equivalently,

OCTOBER 16, 2014 2 the nositive cone? *compare with conditions for.*
In a *anoring the existem to a given etero controllability.* ii) steering within the positive cone? control, over any prespecified interval [0*, T*]. On the other hand, the problem to achieve and maintain a Compare with conditions for: i) steering the system to a given state - controllability iii) maintaining the state at a given value

$$
\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)
$$

$$
0 = (A - BK)\xi + Bu
$$

Schrödinger system

$$
\dot{\Pi} = -A'\Pi - \Pi A + \Pi BB'\Pi
$$
\n
$$
\dot{H} = -A'H - HA - HBB'H
$$
\n
$$
+ (\Pi + H)(BB' - B_1B'_1)(\Pi + H)
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma_0^{-1} = \Pi(0) + H(0)
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma_T^{-1} = \Pi(T) + H(T).
$$

Fast "cooling" + stationary control

Open problem

Density matrices: e.g. $\mathfrak{D} = \{ \rho \geq 0 \mid \text{ symmetric } \rho \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ with } \text{trace}(\rho) = 1 \}$

$$
E_i \text{ with } i = 1, \dots, n_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathcal{E}}} E_i^{\dagger} E_i = I
$$

(typically $n_{\mathcal{E}} \sim n^2$
for "positivity-improving": $\rho \ge 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho) > 0$)

TPTP:
$$
\mathcal{E} : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{D} : \rho \longrightarrow \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathcal{E}}} E_i \rho E_i^{\dagger}
$$

Data: ρ_0 , ρ_T , \mathcal{E} . Problem: Prove that the iteration:

$$
\mathcal{E} : \hat{\phi}_0 \mapsto \hat{\phi}_T = \mathcal{E}(\hat{\phi}_0)
$$

\n
$$
D_T : \hat{\phi}_T \mapsto \phi_T = \left(\rho_T^{1/2} \left(\rho_T^{-1/2} \hat{\phi}^{-1} \rho_T^{-1/2}\right)^{1/2} \rho_T^{1/2}\right)^2
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{E}^\dagger : \phi_T \mapsto \phi_0 = \mathcal{E}^\dagger(\phi_T)
$$

\n
$$
\hat{D}_0 : \phi_0 \mapsto \hat{\phi}_0 = (\phi_0)^{1/2} \rho(\phi_0)^{1/2}
$$

has an attractive fixed point.

Software for numerical experimentation

http://www.ece.umn.edu/~georgiou/papers/schrodinger_bridge/

Thank you for your attention

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.6650>

Positive contraction mappings for classical and quantum Schrodinger systems

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.3421> Stochastic bridges of linear systems

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.1605> Optimal steering of inertial particles diffusing anisotropically with losses

arxiv.org/abs/1408.2222 Optimal steering of a linear stochastic system to a final probability distribution

[arxiv.org/abs/1410.3447](http://arxiv.org/http:/arxiv.org/abs/1410.3447)

Optimal steering of a linear stochastic system to a final probability distribution, Part II