LCCC Workshop October 2012

Pattern Formation in Multi-Cellular Systems

Murat Arcak

EECS, University of California, Berkeley

How do spatial gene expression patterns form?

- Fundamental problem in developmental biology
- New challenge for synthetic biology
- > Mathematical analysis and design hampered by large-scale models

Decompositional Approach: Exploit I/O properties of subsystems (cells) and their coupling structure (diffusion¹, contact signaling, etc.)



[1] Hsia, Holtz, Huang, Maharbiz, Arcak, "A feedback quenched oscillator produces Turing patterning with one diffuser." PLoS Computational Biology, 2012.

Contact-Mediated Inhibition

Common patterning mechanism in multi-cellular organisms, *e.g.*, Notch signaling in mammalian cells:



Delta in one cell inhibits production of Delta in adjacent cells:



Literature: Simulations for specific models^{2,3,4} of Notch signaling. Patterning analysis under restrictive assumptions (*e.g.*, two cells). This talk: A scalable technique for predicting patterns, applicable to a broad class of systems.

[2] Collier et al. J.Theo.Bio. 1996; [3] Ghosh & Tomlin IEE Sys.Bio. 2004; [4] Sprinzak et al. PLoS Comp.Bio. 2011

Interconnected Dynamical Model

Define scaled adjacency matrix for the contact graph:

 $p_{ij} = \begin{cases} d_i^{-1} & \text{if vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are adjacent} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

where d_i : vertex degree. Eigenvalues of $P: -1 \le \lambda_N \le \cdots \le \lambda_1 = 1$







$$\dot{N}_i = \beta - \gamma N_i - k N_i \langle D_j \rangle_i \dot{D}_i = g(S_i) - \gamma D_i - k D_i \langle N_j \rangle_i \dot{S}_i = -\gamma S_i + k N_i \langle D_j \rangle_i.$$

Define input and output:

$$u_i = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle N_j \rangle_i \\ \langle D_j \rangle_i \end{array} \right] \quad y_i = \left[\begin{array}{c} N_i \\ D_i \end{array} \right]$$

I/O model for each cell:

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_{(1)} \\ u_{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \dot{N} &= \beta - \gamma N - k N u_{(2)} \\ \dot{D} &= g(S) - \gamma D - k D u_{(1)} \\ \dot{S} &= -\gamma S + k N u_{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow y = \begin{bmatrix} N \\ D \end{bmatrix}$$

Assumptions on the I/O Model

$$u \longrightarrow \dot{x} = f(x, u), \ y = h(x) \longrightarrow y$$

1) For each constant u there is a hyperbolic, globally asymptotically stable steady-state: x = S(u).

2) The steady-state I/O map:

T(u) := h(S(u))

is decreasing: $u \succeq v \Rightarrow T(u) \preceq T(v)$.

3) For simplicity, henceforth assume SISO, differentiable $T(\cdot)$, and T'(u) < 0 for all u



The Homogeneous Steady-State

Fixed point of $T(\cdot)$ determines a spatially homogeneous steady-state:



and instability of this steady-state sets the stage for patterning:



Instability Criterion: $\lambda_N < 0$ and $|T'(\mathbf{u}^*)| > |\lambda_N|^{-1}$ where λ_N is the smallest eigenvalue of P.

Pattern Templates from Graph Partitioning

A **partition** of the vertex set into classes O_1, \dots, O_r is **equitable** if $\exists \ \overline{p}_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, r$, such that the adjacency matrix P satisfies:

$$\sum_{l \in C_j} p_{kl} = \overline{p}_{ij} \quad \forall k \in O_i$$



$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \overline{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Look for steady-states where vertices in the same class are identical:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u_N \end{bmatrix} = P \begin{bmatrix} T(u_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ T(u_N) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} u_i = w_j \\ \forall i \in O_j \\ \hline \\ T(w_r) \end{bmatrix} = \overline{P} \begin{bmatrix} T(w_1) \\ \vdots \\ T(w_r) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ T(w_r) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ T(w_r) \end{bmatrix}$$
(reduced)

Example: Bipartite Graphs
$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P_{12} \\ \hline P_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \overline{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Steady-states consistent with the bipartition are period-two solutions of the I/O map:

A period-two solution indeed exists if $|T'(u^*)| > 1$ at the fixed pt. u^* :



Equitable Partitions from Symmetries

Given graph $\mathcal{G}(V, E)$, an **automorphism** is a permutation $g: V \to V$ such that if $(i, j) \in E$ then also $(gi, gj) \in E$.

The **automorphism group**, $Aut(\mathcal{G})$, is the set of all automorphisms. $H \subset Aut(\mathcal{G})$ is a **subgroup** if it is closed under composition & inverse. The action of all permutations in subgroup H forms an equitable partition of the vertex set into **orbits**, $O_i = \{hi : h \in H\}$.



orbits generated by subgroup:

$$H = \{Id, 13254\}$$

Computational discrete algebra software, e.g. GAP, identify subgroups

Which Partitions Admit Patterns?

Given a partition, define *reduced graph* \overline{G} with vertex and edge sets:

 $\overline{V} = \{O_1, \dots, O_r\} \quad \overline{E} = \{(O_i, O_j) : i \neq j, \overline{p}_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ or } \overline{p}_{ji} \neq 0\}$



Theorem: Suppose \overline{G} is bipartite and define the cone:

 $\mathcal{K} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^r : w_i w_j \leq 0 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are adjacent in } \overline{G} \}.$

If for every eigenvector of \overline{P} in $\mathcal{K} \cup -\mathcal{K}$:

 $\lambda < 0$ and $|T'(u^*)| > |\lambda|^{-1}$

then (reduced) admits a nonhomogeneous solution.

Examples

Bipartite Graphs:

Checkerboard patterns emerge when $|T'(u^*)| > 1$:



Odd-Length Cycles:

A symmetric pattern emerges when $|T'(u^*)| > \sec(\pi/N)$:



Two-Dimensional Mesh with Wrap-Arounds:



226 distinct equitable partitions identified from symmetries.

Theorem above applied to those with only two orbits:



Buckminsterfullerene Graphs:



32 faces, interpreted as graph vertices. Full automorphism group has two orbits: O_1 : {12 pentagons}, O_2 : {20 hexagons} Theorem above confirms "soccerball pattern" for $|T'(u^*)| > 2$



Buckminster Fuller (1895-1983)

Current Research Topics

- Relaxing the assumptions of the theorem (*e.g.*, bipartite reduced graph)
- Characterizing the stability and domain of attraction of the patterns
- Generic convergence to patterns proven for bipartite graphs.⁵
 Can other graphs exhibit complex dynamics?
- Application: A synthetic multi-cellular patterning system...

[5] Arcak, "Pattern formation by lateral inhibition: An analysis applicable to large scale networks of cells." Submitted.

Acknowledgment:

Ana Ferreira, Katia Nepomnyashchaya, NSF, AFOSR