

A Modeling Framework for Future Energy Systems

Göran Andersson, ETH Zürich

Content

- Energy Hub
	- Multi energy-carrier systems
- Power Node
	- Incorporation of fluctuating power sources
	- Incorporation of demand side participation
	- Incorporation of storage

Hub **The Energy Hub** Heat producer Heat consumer Heat $\dot{\overline{\bullet}}$ Power plant storage Therm. to converter Electrical Electrical consumer $\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{C} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q} \end{array} \right]$ $\overline{}$ storage Energy interconnector $\overline{\star}$ Energy interconnector Kinetic Electrolyser Fuel cell energy storage Mixed consumers Chemica storage **L =** Loads (Output) Gas producer Gas consumer \blacktriangleright Heat **Electrical energy** $M =$ Output side storage flows Chemical energy

- $C =$ Coupling matrix
- $P =$ Input power flows
- $Q =$ Input storage flows

Hub Equations and Results

• Power conversion \Leftrightarrow price conversion

• …

Applications (so far)

- Long term energy planning of the city of Bern
- Energy planning of several Swiss municipalities
- Analysis of e-mobility
- Energy/Exergy analysis of city of Zürich

Status Quo **in Power Systems Modelling**

Traditional power system modeling is "fractional":

- Separate models are used for capturing information of
	- **Transmission & distribution grid (topology, voltage & frequency** dynamics, voltage & line limits)
	- Power generation (generator dynamics, ramp constraints, wind and PV in-feed predictions)
	- **Load models (dynamics, load demand predictions)**
	- Storage models (capacity, storage levels, dynamics)
- Modelled interaction between individual power system units and grid does not necessarily capture all relevant aspects
- No interaction with other energy carriers modeled (cf Energy Hub)

Status Quo **in Power Systems Modelling**

- Example: optimal power dispatch simulations do consider units that inject or absorb power from the grid.
	- Which of these units are storages (energy-constrained)?
	- Which of these units provide fluctuating power in-feed?
	- What controllability (full / partial / none) does the operator have over fluctuating generation and demand processes?

Status Quo **in Power Systems Modelling**

- Example: optimal power dispatch simulations do consider units that inject or absorb power from the grid.
	- Which of these units are storages (energy-constrained)?
	- Which of these units provide fluctuating power in-feed?
	- What controllability (full / partial / none) does the operator have over fluctuating generation and demand processes?

Motivation for Power Nodes Modeling Framework

- **Create unified framework for modeling power system units** (incl. relevant operation constraints, power supply and demand processes and the controllability)
	- Diverse storage units (battery, pumped hydro, …)
	- **-** Diverse generation units (fully dispatchable conventional generators, fluctuating in-feed of wind turbines and PV)
	- Diverse load units (conventional, interruptible, thermal, ...)
- Operation constraints: ramp rates, storage capacity, current storage level (SOC)
- Operation controllability over underlying process (="flexibility"): fully controllable, curtailable / sheddable, non-controllable

The Power Nodes Framework

• Modeling of three domains and their interactions

One Power Node

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

One Power Node

One Power Node (including constraints)

$$
C_i \dot{x}_i = \eta_{\text{load},i} u_{\text{load},i} - \eta_{\text{gen},i}^{-1} u_{\text{gen},i} + \xi_i - w_i - v_i,
$$

- **Power constraints defined by: min/max power, ramp rates, storage capacity**
- Operation flexibility defined by: shedding term w_i , storage term $C_i x_i$, ξ_i

 U_{load}

 u_{gen}

Power Node without storage (e.g. non-controllable load) $\xi_i - w_i = \eta_{\text{gen},i}^{-1} u_{\text{gen},i} - \eta_{\text{load},i} u_{\text{load},i}$ (without energy Grid-side **Demand/Supply**storage) side

conversion process &

 η_{load} U_{load}

 η_{gen} ¹U_{gen}

- **Power node equation degenerates to** losses algebraic equality constraint (for classical load: $u_{gen,i} = 0$)
- Power node's power in-feed / out-feed is
	- **Partially controllable, if shedding term adjustable** $(w_i(k) > 0)$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}$

Non-controllable, if shedding term is zero $(w_i(k) = 0)$

Variety of Power Node modelling definitions

Power Node Modelling Examples

PV with local storage unit, no RES feed-in tariff

Power Node Modelling Examples

PV with local storage unit, RES feed-in tariff

Power Node Modelling Examples

Joint Provision of Load Frequency Control

Power Balance:

$$
\Delta u_{\text{gen,Bat}} + \Delta u_{\text{gen,CG}} - \Delta u_{\text{load,Bat}} - \Delta u_{\text{load,CL}} = \Delta u_{\text{load,LFC}}
$$

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich **Power Node Modelling ExamplesDemand response (driven by dynamic electricity tariff)** $k = N - 1$ $u^* = \min \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{elec.tariff}(k) \cdot [u_{\text{load}_i}(k) + v_{\text{losses}_i}(k)]$ = *k* 0 $C_i \dot{x}_i = \eta_{\text{load}_i} u_{\text{load}_i} + \xi_{\text{demand}_i} - v_{\text{losses}_i} (x_i)$ *s.t.* $C_i \dot{x}_i = \eta_{\text{load}_i} u_{\text{load}_i} + \xi_{\text{demand}_i} - v_{\text{losses}_i}(x_i)$ $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ Normalized Load/Tariff Level [max: 1.0] anna
annan ma⁷dddd**iadaugu ma**rmu 0000000000 $u_{load_i} \geq 0$, 0.8 0.6 minimum ≣mm Room temperature -- constant tark 0.4 Room temperature [°C] Room temperature -- var tariff (regress) Room temperature -- Var tariff (perfect) 0.2 Hourly energy use [Wh/m²] Evolution of variable electricity Electricity use -- constant tarif Electricity use -- var. tariff (regn ricitov use -- var, tariff (perfe Ω **Grid Loading (City of Zurich) Building Sector Load (Constant Tariff)** Building Sector Load (Peak/Offpeak Tariff) Actuator usage [W/m² Radiator -- constant tarif -0.2 Building Sector Load (Dynamic Tariff) **INIMIANI Peak/Offpeak Electricity Tariff ININUIL Dynamic Electricity Tariff** -0.4 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 $\overline{2}$ 8550 $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ 3 6 Time [h] **Time of Day**

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology²uPower Node Modeling Example: **Predictive power dispatch**

- Conventional generation unit [6]
- Conventional (uncontrolled) load [1] + load predictions
- Pumped-hydro storage units [4+5] and flexible loads (DSM) [7]
- Wind/PV units (curtailable) [2-3] + Wind/PV power in-feed predictions

$$
\xi_1 - w_1 = -\eta_{load,1} u_{load,1}
$$

\n
$$
\xi_2 - w_2 = \eta_{gen,2}^{-1} u_{gen,2}
$$

\n
$$
\xi_3 - w_3 = \eta_{gen,3}^{-1} u_{gen,3}
$$

\n
$$
C_4 \dot{x}_4 = \eta_{load,4} u_{load,4} - \eta_{gen,4}^{-1} u_{gen,4}
$$

\n
$$
C_5 \dot{x}_5 = \eta_{load,5} u_{load,5} - \eta_{gen,5}^{-1} u_{gen,5}
$$

\n
$$
\xi_6 = \eta_{gen,6}^{-1} u_{gen,6}
$$

\n
$$
C_7 \dot{x}_7 = \eta_{load,7} u_{load,7} + \xi_7 - a_7 (x_7 - x_{ss,7})
$$

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich

Example: Swiss Federal Institute of Technology²^u Power Node Modeling Example: **Predictive power dispatch**

$$
\min J(k) = \sum_{l=k}^{l=k+N-1} (x(l) - x_{ref})^T \cdot Q_x \cdot (x(l) - x_{ref})
$$

\n
$$
+u(l)^T \cdot Q_u \cdot u(l) + R_u \cdot u(l)
$$

\n
$$
+ \delta u(l)^T \cdot \delta Q_u \cdot \delta u(l)
$$

\ns.t. (a) $x(l+1) = A \cdot x(l) + B \cdot u(l)$
\n(b) $0 \le x^{min} \le x(l) \le x^{max} \le 1$
\n(c) $0 \le u^{min} \le u(l) \le u^{max}$
\n(d) $\delta u^{min} \le \delta u(l) \le \delta u^{max}$
\n(e) $\xi_1(l) = \xi_{drv,1}(l \cdot T)$
\n(f) $\xi_2(l) = \xi_{drv,2}(l \cdot T)$
\n(g) $\xi_3(l) = \xi_{drv,7}(l \cdot T)$
\n(h) $\xi_7(l) = \xi_{drv,7}(l \cdot T)$
\n(i) $u_{gen,4}(l) \cdot u_{load,4}(l) = 0$
\n(j) $u_{gen,5}(l) \cdot u_{load,5}(l) = 0$
\n(k) $\sum_{i=\{2,3,4,5,6\}} u_{gen,i}(l) - \sum_{i=\{1,4,5,7\}} u_{load,i}(l) = 0$
\n(a-k) $\forall l = \{k, ..., k+N-1\}$

ETH. Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Optimal predictive power dispatch (Germany)

$$
\bullet \quad T_{\text{pred.}} = 72h, \ T_{\text{upd.}} = 4h, \ T_{\text{sample}} = 15 \text{min.}
$$

Simulation Period: May 2010 (30% Wind, 20% PV) – Calc < 4min.

13 T.I Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

- Optimal predictive power dispatch (Germany, **high PV**)
- $T_{pred.} = 72h$, $T_{upd.} = 4h$, $T_{sample} = 15min$.
- Simulation Period: May 2010 (30% Wind, **50% PV**, **no DSM**) $1.5 \frac{x 10^5}{ }$

Power Nodes and Energy Hubs

- Partial transformation between Power Nodes and Energy Hubs is possible
	- Converter: natural gas \rightarrow electricity ($u_{load} = 0$, $M_{\beta} = 0$)

$$
C^{gas} \dot{x} = \eta_{load} u_{load}^{el} - \eta_{gen}^{-1} u_{gen}^{el} + \xi_{in}^{gas} = -\eta_{gen}^{-1} u_{gen}^{el} + \xi_{in}^{gas}
$$

$$
u_{gen}^{el} = \eta_{gen} (\xi_{in}^{gas} - C^{gas} \dot{x}) \Leftrightarrow L_{\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta} (P_{\alpha} - Q_{\alpha})
$$

Goals of Power Node Approach

- Goal is to better evaluate performance of power system operation and to improve performance
	- **Storage utilisation (What is its best use?)**
	- Integrating fluctuating power in-feed
	- **Integrating demand-side management (DSM)**
	- Reduce forced ramping of conventional generators for load following and balancing of fluctuating power in-feed
	- **Examples of performance criteria**
		- power system operation cost
		- curtailment of RES in-feed
		- Power system $CO₂$ emissions

Contributions from

Kai Heussen (DTU) Stephan Koch

Andreas Ulbig Martin Geidl

Gaudenz Koeppel Thilo Krause

Florian Kienzle ……..